

SECTION 131 FORM

File With _____ S. 37

Appeal NO: ABP 314485

TO: SEO

Defer Re O/H ☐

Having considered the contents of the submission dated/ received 23/12/24
from

Rachel Kean

I recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000
~~be~~ not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s): no new issues

E.O.: [Signature]

Date: 2/1/25

To EO: _____

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage. ☐

Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply. ☐

S.E.O.: _____

Date: _____

S.A.O.: _____

Date: _____

M _____

Please prepare BP _____ - Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached
submission

to: _____

Allow 2/3/4 weeks – BP _____

EO: _____

Date: _____

AA: _____

Date: _____

S. 37

File With _____

CORRESPONDENCE FORMAppeal No: ABP 314485Please treat correspondence received on 23/12/24 as follows:

. Update database with new agent for Applicant/Appellant _____

. Acknowledge with BP 23. Keep copy of Board's Letter ☐

1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP _____

2. Keep Envelope: ☐3. Keep Copy of Board's letter ☐

Amendments/Comments

Resp Recd

4. Attach to file

(a) R/S ☐(b) GIS Processing ☒(c) Processing ☒(d) Screening ☐(e) Inspectorate ☐RETURN TO EO ☐EO: [Signature]Date: 21/1/25Plans Date Stamped ☐Date Stamped Filled in ☐AA: F. WhitingDate: 21/1/25

Alfie Staunton

From: Rachel King <racheljudithking@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday 23 December 2024 14:49
To: Appeals2
Subject: Rachel Keane Case Reference 314485

Caution: This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

An Bord Pleanála, please refuse permission on the Relevant Action from the DAA and protect my family, my children and future generations in Fingal and Meath.

If you allow the DAA to increase night flights and length of operation of north runway it will have a detrimental effect on mine and my families health and well being.

The DAA should adhere to the planning permission that they were granted, as all citizens of this country are compelled to do, and NOT FLY ILLEGALLY over my house waking us all up at 7am and meaning we cannot go to sleep until 11pm. Now they want to extend these hours meaning only SIX HOURS OF UNINTERRUPTED SLEEP PER NIGHT. Unacceptable, plain and simple.

The DAA have refused to engage with the local community; in fact we have been ignored and belittled for the past two years. The only entity engaged with in the entire community has been the local national school - why is this? A public relations exercise. My children attend the school and I work there and they also play gaa in St Margaret's - this means that we literally never get a break from aircraft noise. Our gardens have fundamentally become useless and our house values have plummeted.

Considering there are so many other options open to the DAA including using noise sensitive departures and alternating runways, it beggars belief that we are still being ignored and they continue to do as they please. The bias is always to the use of the north runway for take off - the southern runway is never used for takeoff during the hours of 7am to 11pm. Why is this? Are the people of malahide/portmarnock more important than our community?

Force the DAA to abide by the rules everyone else abides by and fly the route (straight out for five nautical miles) that they received planning permission for. It appears at the moment that the DAA are very much above the law and unanswerable to government and local authorities.

Other airports around Europe have 'jet bans' for all aircraft except military and air ambulance during the night. The DAA are hellbent on profit and maximising passenger numbers without addressing local concerns. The fact that they continue to breach planning permission with regard to passenger cap numbers and more significantly the standard instrument departure direction off 28L is extremely disappointing.

Please do the right thing and refuse this permission outright.

Regards, Rachel Keane
083 4131143